

Minutes of the Local Committee (Woking)  
Meeting held at 6.30pm on 23 June 2008  
at  
the Council Chamber, Civic Offices, Woking

Members present:

|                              |                             |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <b>Mrs Val Tinney</b>        | <b>Chairman</b>             |
| <b>Mrs Elizabeth Compton</b> | <b>Vice Chairman</b>        |
| <b>Mr Andrew Crisp</b>       | <b>Cllr David Bittleson</b> |
| <b>Mr John Doran</b>         | <b>Cllr Tony Branagan</b>   |
| <b>Mr Geoff Marlow</b>       | <b>Cllr Bryan Cross</b>     |
| <b>Mrs Diana Smith</b>       | <b>Cllr Ian Johnson</b>     |
| <b>Mr Shamas Tabrez</b>      | <b>Cllr Derek McCrum</b>    |
|                              | <b>Cllr Richard Wilson</b>  |

---

**Part One – In Public**

**[All references to items refer to the agenda for the meeting]**

**20/08 Apologies for absence [Item 1]**

Councillor Mrs Glynis Preshaw gave her apologies for absence.

**21/08 Minutes of last meeting- held on 20 February 2008 [Item 2]**

The minutes of the last meeting of the Local Committee (Woking) held on 20 February 2008 were agreed and signed.

**22/08 Declarations of interests [Item 3]**

There were no declarations of interest.

## **23/08 Chairman's Statement**

Surrey County Council and Woking Borough Council have won just under £2 million of government funding from Cycling England to be spent delivering cycling initiatives in Woking.

The competition was fierce, with 74 towns and cities bidding for the £47 million available under the Cycling City, Cycling Towns programme. Woking is one of only ten towns, plus one city, to have successfully achieved the funding and the title of Cycling Town for the next three years.

## **24/08 Standing Orders: Local Protocol [Item 4]**

Carolyn Rowe, North West Area Director Surrey County Council, introduced the report , which reviewed existing working arrangements of the (Woking) Local Committee.

Mr John Doran requested that the written questions and answers be circulated the night before the committee when possible, and queried the need for restricting the amount of questions asked.

Mr Andrew Crisp agreed and suggested an amended recommendation to include the publication of all written questions.

### **RESOLVED**

- (i) that written public questions are accepted up to 12.00 noon four working days before the day of the meeting.
- (ii) that the Committee may accept up to eight written public questions, although all received written public questions will be published, and that the Chairman may use his/her discretion to regard a single question that has been divided into a number of sub-questions as several different questions within the allowable total number that may be asked at the meeting
- (iii) that in addition to the electorate, any young person under 18 who lives within the Woking Borough area may ask one question at the discretion of the Chairman, within the total allowable number which may be asked at the meeting
- (iv) that the Committee accepts a petition containing 50 or more signatures, although in exceptional circumstances the Chairman may use his/her discretion to accept petitions with fewer signatures in cases where it would not be appropriate to get 50 signatures, for example where a proposed scheme affects fewer than 50 properties.
- (v) that Members of the Committee be allowed, at the discretion of the chairman, to briefly clarify points with petitioners when petitions are presented. If the petition refers to an item on the agenda then Members discussion on the item needs to take place at the relevant part of the agenda.

## **25/08 Petitions [Item 5]**

There were no petitions received.

## **26/08 Written public questions [Item 6]**

Annex 1 of these minutes details public questions and answers. The following supplementary questions were put:

Question 1. In response to a supplementary question from Cllr Ashley Bowes on whether there was scope for reducing the speed limit to 30mph, Paul Fishwick stated that officers would await results of a police assessment before considering reducing the limit.

Question 2. In response to a supplementary question from Cllr Ashley Bowes on whether "Keep Clear" lines could be extended partially (rather than to maximum length), Paul Fishwick stated lines had been previously lengthened to meet the need identified, and that there was no requirement to change at this stage.

## **27/08 Written Members' Questions [Item 7]**

A copy of the questions and answers can be found in annex 2 of these minutes.

Question 1. No supplementary question.

Question 2a. In response to a supplementary question from Mrs Diana Smith on the current circumstance with EDF in supplying the Redding Way Crossing, Paul Fishwick confirmed that EDF were on site and due to make an electrical connection very soon.

Question 2b. In response to Mrs Diana Smith's supplementary question on who had responsibility for cutting the trees around the pedestrian crossings, Paul Fishwick confirmed that the trees were on private land and in future years the owners may be reminded of the need to prune, if necessary.

Question 3. As a supplementary question, Mrs Diana Smith asked who was responsible for improving drainage at Anchor Hill / Barrs Lane, and inquired as to how officers were made aware of a problem. In response Paul Fishwick confirmed Andy Lobban and the local Community Highways Officer were the local contacts, and agreed to Mrs Diana Smith's subsequent request that these contact details may be circulated to residents.

Question 4. In response to Mr John Doran's supplementary question on the perceived lack of local feedback into the Local Transport Plan (item 12) priorities, Paul Fishwick confirmed that the prioritisation of activity was done at a countywide level, and that, when including local Member feedback

alongside other weighted factors, some Woking schemes were rated lower than others across the county.

Question 5. No supplementary question.

## **Executive Functions**

### **28/08 Community Safety Annual Report [Item 8]**

Carolyn Rowe, North West Area Director Surrey County Council, Camilla Edmiston, Community Safety Officer Woking Borough Council, and Lynette Shanks, Woking Neighbourhood Inspector Surrey Police introduced the Community Safety Annual Report.

The speakers introduced the report by referring to:

- the success of the Woking 2005-2008 Community Safety Strategy, alluding to the falling levels of crime outlined in the report.
- The recent audit and review of strategic priorities which had led to the development of the Woking 2008-2011 Partnership Plan
- The new arrangements with the Safer and Stronger Communities Partnership Board (SSCPB), and:
- The future challenges of maintaining low crime alongside potential changes in funding

In response to Mrs Val Tinney's question on future community safety funding arrangements Carolyn Rowe confirmed that the SSCPB would allocate funds across the county, although arrangements had not been finalised but would be circulated to members in due course.

Mr John Doran congratulated the partners for the good results and asked what more could be done to reduce residents' fear of crime. In response Inspector Lynette Shanks described some of the methods by which the Police resolved the high perceived levels of crime, such as public engagement through Tune-In workshops, increased public access to crime data, and closer relationships with local press to share positive stories. She said that Surrey Police were open to receiving other ideas to help tackle high levels of perceived crime.

Both Mr Andrew Crisp and Cllr Derek McCrum referred to the value in increasing the circulation of the Woking Police Contact Map. Camilla Edmiston confirmed that the map would be published in Woking Borough Magazine, and agreed to Mr Andrew Crisp's suggestion that it should also be published online.

Cllr Brian Cross referred to the issues in policing the town centre, and asked whether the growing popularity of Thursday night drinking was having implications for the police. In response Inspector Lynette Shanks confirmed that the police were seeing a rise in Thursday night drinking and that this may have resource implications for the police. Inspector Lynette

Shanks also agreed to circulate the agreed Licensing Protocol to the committee.

Cllr Richard Wilson urged officers to make efforts to reduce the number of meeting clashes (Local Committee and Police Panel both on 23 June 2008) before asking why the number of Police Community Support Officers in West Byfleet were reduced from 3 to 2. In response Inspector Lynette Shanks explained that West Byfleet were - for a short period of time - served by an extra PCSO due to a staffing issue, this was now resolved and West Byfleet received the same level of policing resource as in other areas.

In response to Mrs Diana Smith's question regarding the feedback from the programme of Tune-In events run by Woking Borough Council, Camilla Edmiston referred to the completion of feedback forms, production of newsletters and e-updates on Window on Woking.

## RESOLVED

The Committee:

- (i) Noted the progress made in promoting community safety in Woking, and the latest crime statistics;
- (ii) Delegated responsibility for expenditure of the County Council's local crime and disorder funding in Woking to the Area Director;
- (iii) Endorsed the importance of the contribution of all services to community safety in Woking;
- (iv) Commented on any issues of concern arising from the report or information presented.

## **29/08 Surrey Fire and Rescue Service's Activities in Woking Annual Report** [Item 9]

Simon Moore, Fire and Rescue Area Manager Surrey County Council attended to introduce the report.

He noted that overall results had been positive, with reduced calls to all fires and reduced calls to non-domestic premises, although there had been a slight increase in the amount of domestic fires.

Simon Moore emphasised that the Surrey resident was far more likely to be a victim to an accident on the road rather than in the home, and that Surrey Fire and Rescue dealt with more car crashes than domestic fires., He highlighted the Safe Drive Stay Alive campaign as one successful preventative measure which addressed this.

He also emphasised that in domestic fires, vulnerable adults were most at risk; so Fire and Rescue were working in partnership with other organisations to best ensure the safety of this group.

The Local Committee commented on the success of Surrey Fire and Rescue Service generally, and of the Safe Drive Stay Alive (SDSA) project specifically. Mr Geoff Marlow asked whether the live SDSA performances would continue, Mr Andrew Crisp asked whether it was possible to quantify the success of SDSA, and Cllr Richard Wilson asked whether the successful message of the project could be extended to parents.

In response Simon Moore confirmed that:

- SDSA would continue to run live performances as well as trying to reach other groups through producing a new DVD
- They were currently unable to quantify the success of SDSA, but were developing methods to do so in conjunction with Surrey University.

## **RESOLVED**

The committee noted this report.

### **30/08 Old Woking Area – Traffic Conditions [Item 10]**

John Masson, Principal Engineer Surrey Highways, introduced the report to Committee.

## **RESOLVED**

- (i) The scheme to introduce parking bays in High Street Old Woking, partly on the footway and partly in the carriageway, as shown on Drawing No. 12654, should not be proceeded with;
- (ii) The existing white line along the footway on the south side of High Street, Old Woking be removed, and
- (iii) Not to introduce a 20mph speed limit and 7.5 tonne lorry ban for Old Woking.
- (iv) Residents be informed of the Committee's decision

### **31/08 Proposed Waiting Restriction Amendments [Item 11]**

Kevin Patching, Engineer Surrey Highways, introduced the report.

It was made clear that the original report did not include drawing 12655 as an additional annex for agreement, and included drawing 12694 as a revised annex. Both revised items were tabled and the officer recommendations were amended and agreed as below.

Members of the Local Committee had queries regarding specific plans, which were addressed by Kevin Patching. In response to Cllr Ian Johnson's question on how members could feed into the list of waiting restriction amendments throughout the year, Kevin Patching agreed to produce and circulate a note of guidance for all members of the committee.

Cllr Tony Branagan and Kevin Patching agreed to liaise after Local Committee regarding a resident request..

**RESOLVED**

- (i) To advertise a Traffic Regulation Order under the provisions of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (and any other Traffic Orders) to implement the changes to existing restrictions and the proposed new restrictions as shown on drawings 12480, 12590, 12619, 12627, 12656, 12655 (TABLED-ADDITIONAL) 12568, 12660 – 12665 (12664 is for single and not double yellow line), 12686 - 12688, 12690 – 12694 (AS REVISED), 12696, 12698 – 12703
- (ii) The Amendment Orders that have been made since July 2005 should be consolidated into a new Traffic Regulation Order
- (iii) Any objection(s) would have to be considered by the Chairman of the Local Committee (Woking), the Divisional Member and the Local Highways Manager.

**32/08 Lower Guildford Road (service road) Knaphill [Item 12]**

Kevin Patching, Engineer Surrey Highways, introduced the report.

It was confirmed that a further pedestrian survey report would be carried out, and if the results had any implications on the recommendations, then any amendments would be reported to the October 2008 Local Committee. If no amendments were required then officers would go ahead on the basis of the below agreement.

**RESOLVED**

- (i) A further pedestrian survey is carried out and if the results of this are likely to affect the original proposal for a crossing on Lower Guildford Road, Knaphill, a report would be brought back to this Committee on 20 October 2008
- (ii) If the original proposal is adopted, its design should incorporate the closure of the service road at the Lansbury Estate end.
- (iii) The necessary Prohibition of Road Vehicle Order to allow the road to be closed off should be advertised.
- (iv) Any objection(s) will have to be considered by the Chairman of the Local Committee (Woking), the Divisional Member and the Local Highways Manager.

**33/08 Local Transport Plan 2008/09 Revised Programme [Item 13]**

Paul Fishwick introduced the Local Transport Plan as the recommended planned schemes for 2008/09. It was made clear that Woking had seen a reduced devolved budget for integrated transport schemes from £450,000 in 2007/08 to £160,000 for 2008/09 and that the committee would need to agree the priorities based on this revised budget.

The Local Allocation of £100,000 remained unchanged, and Paul Fishwick recommended this be used to focus on works on the ground rather than on design.

An additional scheme not included in the Local Transport Plan, the Denton Way Puffin Crossing, had received £10,000 from Waitrose under Section 106. Mrs Diana Smith expressed support and urged that work start on the crossing as soon as possible.

Cllr Derek McCrum expressed concern that the proposed crossing on Westfield Road was not included in the officer's recommendations. In response Paul Fishwick explained the prioritisation process that had led to the Westfield Road scheme's omission, and reminded the committee that it could decide to include Westfield Road but if so it would not have the funds available for the White Rose Lane / Heathside Crescent Scheme, which had prompted two petitions to the February meeting and had subsequently been the subject of a Stage 4 Road Safety Audit which recommended remedial work

Mr John Doran expressed concern over the reduction in devolved budget and argued that the inclusion of White Rose Lane / Heathside Crescent scheme over the Westfield Road scheme was a result of a Surrey County Council mismanagement of the original White Rose Lane / Heathside Crescent scheme, and that either additional funds to complete both schemes should be requested from the Executive, or the committee should not agree the officer recommendations in the report.

Chairman Mrs Val Tinney urged the committee not to reject the recommendations; Mr Andrew Crisp agreed and suggested that the committee seek additional funding for the Westfield Road scheme to compensate for remedial work to correct previous work on White Rose Lane / Heathside Crescent.

A majority of the committee voted in favour of amending the recommendations to include the additional recommendation (v).

## **RESOLVED**

- (i) That the detailed programme for 2008/09 Annex A be approved.
- (ii) That officers be authorised to proceed with any necessary actions including traffic orders, advertisements and notices of intent in order to deliver these projects.
- (iii) That the officers hold 'round table' meetings with the Chairman and Electoral Division Member(s) at appropriate times to progress Initial



Design and Detailed Design processes to enable the schemes to progress to the next appropriate stage (subject to funding).

- (iv) That the Local Committee sub group meet during September 2008 to review progress of the 2008/09 programme and November 2008 to review the possible 2009/10 programme by touring the district similar to November 2007.
- (v) That the Local Committee seek up to an additional £50,000 from the Executive to ensure that the new Puffin crossing on Westfield Road be continued rather than being postponed at the expense of remedial works required to correct the previous work undertaken at White Rose Lane/ Heathside Crescent.

#### **34/08 Joint Road Safety Local Action Plan for Woking 2008/09 [Item 14]**

Paul Fishwick introduced the report for information.

Mr Andrew Crisp expressed interest in the report and sought additional information and analysis of the data. Paul Fishwick agreed to send further details of the data to Mr Andrew Crisp and other interested committee members.

#### **35/08 Annual Highways Maintenance Management Plan [Item 15]**

Andy Lobban, Maintenance Engineer Surrey Highways, introduced the report.

He said that following restructuring, Surrey Highways had allocated its Revenue Maintenance funding on a West and East Area basis, and Woking would receive approximately one sixth of the West Area budget: £1,304,107.

In response to Mr Andrew Crisp's request, Andy Lobban agreed to circulate the up to date rota of planned maintenance work to all members of the committee on a regular basis.

#### **RESOLVED**

The committee noted this report.

#### **36/08 Members Allocation 2007/08 Overview [Item 16]**

Carolyn Rowe introduced the report and welcomed Mr John Doran's comments on the presentation of the data, which could better reflect the reality of how all areas of the borough benefited from member allocations funding.

#### **RESOLVED**

The committee noted this report.

### **37/08 Allocating Local Committee Funding: Members' Allocations [Item 17]**

Carolyn Rowe introduced this report.

Mrs Val Tinney asked Committee to agree to an increased award to allocation 14, the Arbor Centre, to the full requested amount of £2 171 and this was accepted.

#### **RESOLVED**

The committee agreed the following allocations:

|    |  |           |
|----|--|-----------|
| 1  | Computers for Children in Domestic Abuse Refuge      | £1,152    |
| 2  | Pyrford Scout Group - Tents                          | £1,050    |
| 3  | Replacement VHS radios for Woking Air Cadets         | £1,000    |
| 4  | Birchemere, Woking District Scouts Campsite          | £2,200    |
| 5  | Double Glazing- St Johns Cornerstone                 | £6,858    |
| 6  | Surrey Care Trust - STEPS                            | £4,765.73 |
| 7  | Safe Drive Stay Alive                                | £5,000    |
| 8  | Byfleet Art Group                                    | £600      |
| 9  | Jigsaw   | £2,398.95 |
| 10 | Sheerwater Community Forum                           | £650      |
| 11 | Woking Contemporary Art Fair                         | £110      |
| 12 | Goldsworth Care – Publicity and Recruitment Campaign | £466      |
| 13 | Woking Active Retirement Club- Bowls Group           | £700      |
| 14 | Arbor Centre   | £2,171    |
| 15 | Woking Children's Centre – Family Links              | £525      |

### **38/08 Farnborough Airport: Item for Information [Item 18]**

All members of the Committee noted their interests in this item, as residents of Woking overflowed by the relevant flight paths.

#### **RESOLVED**

The committee agreed the following motion which was proposed by Mrs Diana Smith and seconded by Mr John Doran:

‘The Woking Local Committee considers that proposals for the increase of traffic at Farnborough Airport will be harmful for the people of Knaphill, Brookwood, Goldsworth Park and Horsell living under the flight path, and that the economic advantages do not outweigh the environmental disadvantages. It asks Surrey County Council to reflect this opinion in its response to the current pre-consultation.’

**39/08 Forward Programme [Item 19]**

**RESOLVED**

- remove the Walking and Cycling Strategy for Woking 2008-2013, to be deferred to a separate meeting in September of the Local Committee on the Cycle Town Status
- Add a Civil Parking Enforcement Report
- Add a Guildford Road / Knaphill Report if required
- Add information reports on Adult Care services and Children's Services for future meetings.

**[The meeting ended at 9.30pm]**

**Chairman**

---

## **Annex1**

# **SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL**

## **LOCAL COMMITTEE (WOKING)**

**23<sup>rd</sup> June 2008**

### **Public Questions**

#### **1. Question from Councillor Ashley Bowes, Woking Borough Council:**

**Can officers advise as to the enforceability of the speed limit along the Old Woking Road?**

#### **Answer from Surrey County Council's local highways manager:**

The Traffic Regulation Order allowing the current signed 40mph speed limit on Old Woking Road will come into force in early July, following which Surrey Police will be able to carry out enforcement. However, if objections are received, these will need to be determined before the Order is made, and this could delay the implementation date.

There are no current plans to reduce the speed limit to 30mph.

#### **2. Question from Councillor Ashley Bowes, Woking Borough Council:**

**Can the "Keep Clear" signs be extended further along the Coldharbour Road outside Oakfield School?**

#### **Answer from Surrey County Council's local highways manager:**

The School Keep Clear lines were extended to twice their original length several months ago in order to improve sight lines and allow for a school crossing patrol that the school was keen to introduce as a matter of priority. The existing school keep clear markings are not at their maximum length, but are significantly long to meet their intended purpose.

## **SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL**

### **LOCAL COMMITTEE (WOKING)**

**23<sup>rd</sup> June 2008**

#### **Members Questions**

##### **1. Question from Councillor Glynis Preshaw, Woking Borough Council:**

Following recent incidents when children narrowly escaped death or serious injury from cars habitually failing to stop at the zebra crossing, opposite Brookwood school, could urgent consideration be given to converting the zebra into a controlled crossing with traffic lights.

##### **Answer from Surrey County Council's local highways manager:**

Firstly I would like to explain the process for introducing new or improved schemes. The Executive Committee of the County Council make available a devolved budget to the eleven County Council Local Committees within Surrey. Surrey Highways Area West (Woking) maintains a schedule of planned schemes known as the 'Assessment Pool'. For Woking, the first stage in this process is the annual review of the current 'Assessment Pool', which was completed during the autumn/winter period of 2007/08. Up to two new schemes per Electoral Division member can be added annually but these must meet the Objectives, Key Strategies and targets of the Local Transport Plan. These are assessed against the schemes already within the 'Assessment Pool' and the highest priority schemes will be placed within a 5-Year Indicative Programme. The 5-Year programme is presented to the County Council Local Committee for Woking at the February meeting and for this year this was held on the 20<sup>th</sup> February 2008. The report can be viewed on the County Council Web site [www.surreycc.gov.uk](http://www.surreycc.gov.uk) / Committee papers / Woking.

As mentioned earlier, the County Council via the Executive Committee allocates the funding awarded from the Government and for the 2007/08 financial year this is set as £450,000. However, the funding for 2008/09 has been reduced to £160,000 and it is unknown what the budget will be for the remaining years of the 5-Year programme (2009/10 to 2012/13). The Woking Area 'Assessment Pool' currently contains 93 schemes estimated to cost £4.3 million (at today's prices), which calculates out at over 20 years, based on current funding levels to complete all the works.

With the above process details in mind it would be classified as a low priority to upgrade a zebra to a light controlled crossing (Pelican / Puffin / Toucan) unless it was linked to another scheme such as a cycle route joining onto the zebra and in these circumstances a Toucan crossing would be recommended.

The existing zebra crossing is within a traffic-calmed area located on a table, which should reduce traffic speeds. I am not aware of the details of the "vehicles failing to stop" but there are guidance notes for using crossings which the County Council's Safer Travel officers try to educate to school children.

The guidance notes state the following for pedestrians:

1. Stand still on the pavement and look in all directions.
2. Cross only on the black and white stripes, and not on the zigzag lines at either side of the crossing.
3. Remember that drivers need time to slow down and stop, especially when the road is slippery, for example when it is wet or icy.
4. Wait on the pavement until all the traffic has stopped or when the road is clear, then you should cross.
5. Keep looking and listening as you walk across.
6. Watch out for overtaking vehicles who might not have seen you.
7. Make sure you don't dawdle on the crossing.

The Safer Travel officer who covers the Woking area visited Brookwood Primary School on Friday 20 June and emphasized the guidance notes on using a zebra crossing and suggested that parents wait on the school side of the road when collecting children.

**3. Question from Councillor Diana Smith, Surrey County Council:**

1. Concerning the pedestrian crossings at Redding Way and the Broadway in Knaphill:
  - a. What are the obstacles currently in the way of bringing both these crossings into full use?
  - b. I note that one beacon for the Broadway crossing is totally obscured by trees, and that both beacons are placed back from the road and high up. What is the rationale for this?
    - c. Assuming the trees will be trimmed, has a regular schedule of cutting been arranged?

**Answer from Surrey County Council's local highways manager:**

- a. Redding Way is awaiting a new electric power supply from EDF. EDF are the Regional Electricity Board and they are the only company that is authorised to work on their apparatus. Broadway has now been completed and the crossing is in use.
- b. The obstruction to the beacon will be removed in the near future. The poles are set at the back of the footway to improve footway clearance and placed on an arm to improve the sight line.
- c. Once the trees have been cut back, there will be an annual maintenance system in place.

**4. Question from Councillor Diana Smith, Surrey County Council:**

What progress has been made towards scheduling work to improve the drainage of Anchor Hill / Barrs Lane?

**Answer from Surrey County Council's local highways manager:**

During the summer of 2007, the Borough Council constructed a soakaway in the green to the west of the open ditch in Barrs Lane, as the systems in the area drained in land drainage.

At the same time the County Council installed an additional gully to take surface water into the ditch and new soakaway and had the highway systems jetted. As there was still ponding in the area, Thames Water was requested to clear their surface water systems and cleared a significant amount of root intrusion. Woking Borough Council has instructed their contractor to keep the soakaway clear of debris by regular visits.

Surrey County Council has instructed the Community Gang to keep the side entry gully and chutes into the ditch clear on each visit to the Knaphill division. It was noted that from observations following the last significant rainfall on the 3 June that there was no flooding at this location, therefore the site will be monitored after significant rainfall and the County and Borough Council have introduced a maintenance system.

**4. Question from Councillor John Doran, Surrey County Council:**

- a) How were the resurfacing and dressing priorities in Woking reached? Why were member priorities not included?
- b) Why has the amount of money spent on integrated transport schemes in Woking fallen by two thirds when the Executive decision was to halve the budget?

**Answer from Surrey County Council's local highways manager:**

- a) The resurfacing and surface dressing scheme priorities were determined using a rating system, countywide. Members were requested to provide a list of their three highest priorities and points were awarded to each of these schemes. Goldsworth Road, which attracted Member points has been included within the 2008/10 programme. The highest rated schemes meeting the budget were approved for inclusion within the programme.
- b) Integrated transport schemes. The reports issued to Executive by Surrey Highways indicate that in 2007/08 the allocation to local schemes (capital) was £6.2m including streetscene hence £5.735m devolved to LTS budget with £3.096m to the West Area and £466,000 to Woking. In 2008/09 the allocation to local schemes (capital) is £3.0m with £1.9m devolved to LTS budget, £1.02m to the West Area and £160,000 to Woking. In each year the West has received some 54% of the allocation with the Woking element being a similar percentage of the total in each year."

However it should be noted that the £5.735m has become £1.9m, which is 33% hence the similar reduction in the Woking budget.

The difference occurs because the £3m includes the £1.1m local allocation, which has remained the same, £100,000 allocation to each of the 11 Local Committee's.

**5. Question from Councillor Tony Branagan, Woking Borough Council:**

- a) Please advise the feasibility of having "cushions/ tables" on the blind bend on Brewery Road  
From outside the doctors' surgery – Old Malt Way to outside 26 Brewery Road.

There have been accidents, surgery wall demolished, fence at 38 Brewery Road demolished. Traffic still travels too fast, residents trying to leave their properties have no sight lines and little or no time to react to the Brewery Road traffic.

b) The recent traffic control measures on Boundary Road. Could same be replicated on South Road, Horsell from junction with Morton Road to junction with Russell Road?

**Answer from Surrey County Council's local highways manager:**

The process for introducing new schemes has been highlighted in the answer to question 1 above. However, for Brewery Road, a vehicle-activated sign was installed for westbound traffic prior to the bend and has recently been brought into operation. For eastbound traffic the recently completed roundabout at Brewery Road / Arthurs Bridge Road will reduce traffic speeds.

For South Road, a vehicle-activated sign was installed recently for southbound traffic.

The permanent vehicle activated signs installed at both of these roads collect traffic volume and speed data, which will be monitored.